Clouds in LMDZ

Catherine Rio
LMDZ Development Team

LMDZ training course – 16/17/18 December 2014 – LMD Jussieu

Clouds and radiation

Albedo effect: clouds reflect an important part of the incoming solar radiation
Maximum when the contrast of albedo clouds/surface is maximum: over ocean

Greenhouse effect: clouds absorb a part of the radiation emitted by the earth surface
Maximum when the contrast of temperature clouds/surface is maximum: high clouds



Low clouds:
- Strong albedo effect (reflectivity 40-50%)
- Weak greenhouse effect (warm clouds)

cooling

High clouds:
- Weak albedo effect
- Strong greenhouse effect (cold clouds)

warming

The radiative forcing of clouds

LW radiative forcing

Positive: clouds decrease the
energy reflected (clouds colder)

Annual mean: +29W/m2

SW radiative forcing

Negative: clouds decrease
the energy absorbed (clouds brighter)

Annual mean: -47W/m2

Net radiative forcing

Annual mean: -18W/m2

Globally, clouds cool the planet.







Clouds et precipitation

Precipitation (mm/day)

January



July



Importance of the good representation of the occurrence frequency of the different types of clouds, their seasonal variability, their diurnal cycle...

Methodology to develop and evaluate parameterizations

1D case studies built from field campaigns (BOMEX, TOGA-COARE, TWP-ICE, AMMA...) or routinely in-situ measurements (ARM)



1D simulations

Explicit simulations over a domain equivalent to a GCM grid cell

Provide quantities difficult to measure (structures properties, mixing rates etc...)



Identical forcing:
Initial profiles
Large-scale advection
Surface fluxes

Use of explicit simulations for parameterization development

Simulated cumulus field:



http://www.knmi.nl/~siebesma/BLCWG/

Identification of thermals in the Large-Eddy Simulation

- Evolution of mean variables:
  Ex: T, q, cloud fraction (cf)
- Statistics over the domain:
  Ex: PDF of qt, θl
- Properties of clouds:
  Ex: condensate



Conditional sampling of thermals based on a tracer emitted at the surface.

Couvreux et al., BLM, 2010

Statistical cloud schemes

q : water vapor concentration
q_{sat} : maximum concentration at saturation
If $q > q_{sat}$:
→ water vapor condensates = clouds

We know the mean q *and* q_{sat}
→ Fraction of the grid covered by clouds ?

« all or nothing » model :
If $q > q_{sat}$ 100% cloudy, otherwise clear sky.



« statistical » model :
We assume a statistical distribution of q' around q within the grid cell

$$f = \int_{q_s}^{\infty} P(q_t)\, dq_t$$

$$\overline{q_{tc}} = \int_{q_s}^{\infty} q_t P(q_t)\, dq_t$$

$$q_c^{in} = \frac{\overline{q_{tc}}}{f} - \overline{q_s}$$





Simple parameterization : Gaussian σ / q = 20%

- condensate: liquid/ice partitioning (function of the temperature) → radiation
- A fraction of the condensate falls as rain (parameters controlling the maximum water content of clouds and the auto-conversion rate)
- The rain is partly evaporated in the grid below (parameter controlling the evaporation rate)

Cloud schemes depend on cloud types



Boundary-layer clouds

Deep convective clouds

Large-scale clouds

Boundary-layer clouds

Cumulus and thermals

Case II: 15 dec 1972- 12h48

Case III: 15 dec 1972 - 14h18





Cumulus are the saturated part of thermals initiated at the surface

(a)



(b)

Lemone et Pennell, MWR, 1976

The thermal plume model

Hourdin et al., JAS, 2002; Rio et Hourdin, JAS, 2008

calltherm.F90

LeMone and Pennell, MWR, 1976





Equations

Conservation of mass:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = e - d$$

Transport of θl, qt, u, v

$$\frac{\partial f \psi_u}{\partial z} = e\psi - d\psi_u$$

Internal variables

- w: mean vertical velocity within thermals
- α: fractional coverage of thermals
- e: entrainment rate within thermals
- d: detrainment rate from thermals
- qa: concentration of q within thermals

Conservation of momentum:

$$\frac{\partial f w_u}{\partial z} = -d w_u + \alpha g \rho \frac{\theta_{vu} - \theta_v}{\theta_v}$$

\+ Specification of entrainment and detrainment rates
\+ Computation of the mass-flux at the base of plumes

The cloud scheme
cloudth.F90

Bi-Gaussian distribution
of saturation deficit s:

$$s = al\,(qt - qsat(Tl))$$

- One mode associated with thermals
sth, σth

- One mode associated with their environment:
senv, σenv

Shallow convection

senv, σenv
sth, σth,α



qc, cf

Jam & al., BLM, 2012

We know:
Mean state: senv
Thermal properties: sth, α

Parameterization of σenv and σth?

Parameterization of the variances:

$$\sigma_{s,env} = c_{env} \times \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times (\bar{s}_{th} - \bar{s}_{env}) + b \times \bar{q}_{t_{env}}$$

$$\sigma_{s,th} = c_{th} \times \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times (\bar{s}_{th} - \bar{s}_{env}) + b \times \bar{q}_{t_{th}}$$



Representation of low clouds in LMDZ5A and LMDZ5B

1D cases

Cloud fraction (%) and liquid water (g/kg)

3D simulations

Low cloud fraction (%)
Annual mean



Better representation of low-level clouds in IPSL-CM5B

Hourdin et al., 2012

Remaining major issues in the representation of low clouds in LMDZ5B

The problem of stratocumulus in LMDZ5B

The thermal plume model is desactivated in regions of strong inversion.

Stratocumulus are handled as large-scale clouds.


Fraction of the year when the thermal plume model is desactivated

The problem of activation of the deep convection scheme

The deep convection scheme is activated too often in the simulation.

Deep convection competes with thermals and rapidly kills thermal activity and associated low clouds.


Frequency of occurrence of deep convection in July

Recent developments

- Increase of vertical resolution with a refinement at low levels

- Modification of entrainment and detrainment in the thermal plume model to account for cloud-top mixing in stratocumulus (Jam et al., in preparation)



1D FIRE case with the modified thermal plume model

LMDZ1D



LES



Effect in 3D simulations: Vertical profile of cloud fraction averaged between 5S and 20S

NPv3.1



NPv4.12



Recent developments

Modification of the triggering criteria of the deep convection scheme
(Rochetin et al., JAS, 2014)

- Deterministic approach of deep convection triggering:
ALE> |CIN|

- Probabilistic approach:
What is the probability the grid-cell contains one thermal sufficiently large to trigger convection?

- Stochastic approach:
Random number between 0 and 1 to be compared with the triggering probability

Frequency of occurence of deep convection

deterministic

stochastic



Effect on low-cloud cover



Tuning parameters

CLDLC: threshold on the maximum liquid water content of clouds *(cld_lc_lsc)*
CLDTAU: autoconversion rate *(cld_tau_lsc)*
COEF_EVA: parameter controlling the evaporation of precipitation *(coef_eva)*

Sensitivity of the low-level cloud fraction to:

A change of parameterizations



A change of parameters



The low-level cloud cover is more sensitive to parameterization changes than tuning parameter changes.
However, tuning parameters can still impact cloud microphysical properties and thus their radiative impact.
Work is ongoing to better constrain tuning parameters using observations (COSP simulator).



Deep convective clouds

Cumulonimbus, updrafts and cold pools
Local convection in semi-arid region: The 10 of July 2006 in Niamey

Development of organized structures associated with deep convection

17:20UTC 17:40UTC 18:20UTC

Horizontal cross-section at 600m

Vertical cross-section 5km north of the RADAR



Lothon et al., MWR, 2011

The deep convection scheme

concvl.F





Emanuel, 1991

- Triggering function of the deep convection scheme:
Criteria on the convective inhibition

- Convection intensity (“closure”):
Convective intensity related to mean environmental properties (LMDZ5A)
Convective intensity related to sub-cloud processes (LMDZ5B)

- Precipitation efficiency: fraction of condensate that precipitates instead of being detrained

- Updrafts and downdrafts properties: vertical velocity, buoyancy and fractional coverage

- Mixing rates between clouds and environment

Parameterization of cold pools (LMDZ5B)



Grandpeix & Lafore, JAS, 2010

The cloud scheme

clouds_gno.F

Log-normal distribution of total water qt

Grid cell mean state → qt, qsat

Convection scheme → qc

→



→ σ, cf

Vertical variation of the PDF on the oceanic case TOGA-COARE
20-27 December 1992



Bony & Emanuel, JAS, 2001

Representation of middle clouds in LMDZ

Parameterization developed on the oceanic case TOGA-COARE

But over land:

1D Cases

AMMA case

Cloudy water (g/kg)

Cloud fraction (%)



3D simulations

Middle-Cloud fraction (%)
Annual mean



Strong under estimation of middle clouds in dry environment

Tuning parameters

CLDLC: threshold on maximum condensate *(cld_lc_con)*
CLDTAU: auto-conversion rate *(cld_tau_con)*
COEF_EVA: parameter controlling the evaporation of precipitation *(coef_eva)*
EPMAX: maximum efficiency of precipitation *(epmax)*
FALLV: factor on the fall speed of ice crystals *(ffallv_con)*

But tuning is not sufficient

Lognormal distribution is not the best-suited:
The distribution should also be bi-modal

Work in progress to define a bimodal distribution from deep convection characteristics (Arnaud Jam)



Large-scale clouds

Large-scale condensation

Mid-latitude
cyclones





Convection organized
in squall lines
in Africa

The cloud scheme

fisrtilp.F90

Log-normal distribution of total water qt (Bony & Emanuel, JAS, 2001)

Grid-cell
mean state

→ q, qsat

σ/q imposed



$$\alpha_c = \int_{q_{sat}}^{\infty} P(q)dq$$

$$q_c = \int_{q_{sat}}^{\infty} (q - q_{sat})P(q)dq$$

The profile of σ/qt is defined by:

iflag_ratqs=0: increases linearly from ratqsbas to ratqshaut between the surface and 300hPa.
= ratqshaut above 300hPa.

iflag_ratqs=2: increases linearly from 0 to ratqsbas between the surface and 600hPa.
increases linearly from ratqsbas to ratqshaut between 600 and 300hPa.
= ratqshaut above 300hPa.

ratqsbas and ratqshaut are defined in physiq.def.

Representation of high clouds in LMDZ

1D case of oceanic convection (TWP-ICE)

Observed cloud fraction



Cloud fraction associated with deep convection



Cloud fraction associated with boundary-layer turbulence and large-scale condensation



3D simulations

High cloud fraction (%)
Annual mean



The tuning parameters

Parameters controlling large-scale clouds and precipitation (physiq.def):
CLDLC: threshold on maximum of condensate *(cld_lc_lsc)*
CLDTAU: auto-conversion rate *(cld_lc_tau)*
FALLICE: factor on the fall speed of ice crystals *(ffallv_lsc)*
COEFEVA: parameter controlling the evaporation of precipitation *(coef_eva)*

Sensitivity of the high cloud fraction to:

A change of parameterizations



Strong sensitivity to tuning parameters,
in particular to the width of the distribution

Under development:
- Thermodynamical effect of ice
- More realistic liquid/ice partitioning as a function of temperature

A change of parameters







Total cloud fraction and cloud water content:

cldfra = min(cf(thermals) + cf (convection) + cf (large-scale), 1.)

cldliq = qc(thermals) x cf(thermals)
+ qc (convection) x cf(convection)
+ ql (large-scale)

The tuning phase of the model

Sensitivity of radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere

Change of parameterizations



Change of parameters



LMDZ5A (AR4_physiq.def)		**LMDZ5B (NPv3.1_physiq.def)**
	Boundary-layer	
iflag_pbl=1	Diffusion	iflag_pbl=8
iflag_thermals=0	Thermals	iflag_thermals=15
iflag_thermals_ed=0	Mixing rates in thermals	iflag_thermals_ed=10
iflag_coupl=0	Coupling with deep convection	iflag_coupl=5
	Convection	
iflag_con=30	Emanuel old/new	iflag_con=3
iflag_clos=1	Closure CAPE/ALP	iflag_clos=2
iflag_wake=0	Cold pools	iflag_wake=1
qqa1=0 qqa2=1	PDF for mixing	qqa1=1 qqa2=0
iflag_clw=1	Computation of condensate	iflag_clw=0
epmax=0.999	Efficiency of precipitation	epmax=0.997
	Clouds	
iflag_cldcon=3	Cloud scheme	iflag_cldcon=6
iflag_ratqs=0	Profile of σ/qt	iflag_ratqs=2
ratqsbas=0.005	σ/qt min	ratqsbas=0.002
ratyqshaut=0.33	σ/qt max	ratqs_haut=0.25
cld_lc_lsc=4.16e-4	Threshold cloudy water LS	cld_lc_lsc=6e-4
cld_lc_con=4.16e-4	Threshold cloudy water CV	cld_lc_con=6e-4
ffallv_lsc=0.5	Ice crystals fall speed LS	ffallv_lsc=1.35
ffallv_con=0.5	Ice crystals fall speed CV	ffallv_con=1.35
coef_eva=2e-5	Coefficient of evaporation	coef_eva=1e-4

LMDZ5A (AR4_physiq.def)		LMDZ5B (Npv3.1_physiq.def) (NPv4.12_physiq.def) UNDER DEVELOPMENT!!
	Boundary-layer	
iflag_pbl=1	Diffusion	iflag_pbl=8 (11)
iflag_thermals=0	Thermals	iflag_thermals=15 (18)
iflag_thermals_ed=0	Mixing rates in thermals	iflag_thermals_ed=10 (8)
iflag_coupl=0	Coupling with deep convection	iflag_coupl=5
	Convection	
iflag_con=30	Emanuel old/new	iflag_con=3
iflag_clos=1	Closure CAPE/ALP	iflag_clos=2
iflag_wake=0	Cold pools	iflag_wake=1
		iflag_trig_bl=2
qqa1=0	PDF for mixing	qqa1=1
qqa2=1		qqa2=0
iflag_clw=1	Computation of condensate	iflag_clw=0
epmax=0.999	Efficiency of precipitation	Epmax=0.997 (0.97)
	Clouds	
iflag_cldcon=3	Cloud scheme	iflag_cldcon=6
iflag_ratqs=0	Profile of σ/qt	iflag_ratqs=2 (4)
ratqsbas=0.005	σ/qt min	ratqsbas=0.002
ratyqshaut=0.33	σ/qt max	ratqs_haut=0.25 (0.24)
		iflag_t_glace=1
cld_lc_lsc=4.16e-4	Threshold cloudy water LS	cld_lc_lsc=6e-4 (1.92e-4
cld_lc_con=4.16e-4	Threshold cloudy water CV	cld_lc_con=6e-4(1.92e-4
ffallv_lsc=0.5	Ice crystals fall speed LS	ffallv_lsc=1.35 (0.9504)
ffallv_con=0.5	Ice crystals fall speed CV	ffallv_con=1.35 (0.9504)
coef_eva=2e-5	Coefficient of evaporation	coef_eva=1e-4 (1e-5)