# UTILISATION D'ENSEMBLES PARAMÉTRIQUES FORCÉS/COUPLÉS

**Brady FERSTER, Juliette MIGNOT, Julie DESHAYES, Guillaume GACHON, Guillaume GASTINEAU** 

29 JANUARY 2024

What can be learned from waves of tuning AMIP and Coupled simulations using HighTune ?

PEDALONS

# **Tuning the Coupled Model**





Should we revisit our metrics for future coupled tuning?



**Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?** 



### **Objectives**

Can we efficiently use waves of LMDZOR as preconditioning for the IPSL-CM6 model?

- Can we translate these preconditioning results between model resolutions (-VLR, -LR, -HR)?



# **Tuning the Coupled Model**





### **Objectives**

Can we efficiently use waves of LMDZOR as preconditioning for the IPSL-CM6 model?

Can we translate these preconditioning results between model resolutions (-VLR, -LR, -HR)?





HighTune Name	Min. Parametric Value	Max. Parametric Value	IPSL Model Value	Model Component	Short Description
RNALB	0.	1.	0.50	SICE	facteur multiplicatif pour régler les 4 albédos en même temps
RNCDN	0.10	0.50	0.31	SICE	rn_cnd_s (thermal conductivity of the snow over sea ice, W/m/K)
RNCE	0.06	0.08	0.06	NEMO	rn_ce
RNLC	0.05	0.5	0.15	NEMO	rn_lc
CLC	1E-04	1.00E-03	6.5e-4	LMDZ	seuil d'autoconversion de l'eau nuageuse liquide
FALLV	0.3	2.	0.8	LMDZ	vitesse de chute des cristaux de glace
OMEPMX	0.0003	0.02	0.001	LMDZ	1-epmax
DZ	0.04	0.12	0.07	LMDZ	parametre controlant le detrainement au sommet des thermiques
EVAP	5E-05	5.00E-04	1.00E-04	LMDZ	coefficient sur la réévaporation des pluies
GKDRAG	0.2	2.	0.6	LMDZ	sso_gkdrag
PCENT	0.3	1.	0.8	ORCH	Pcent
ASNOW	5.	15.	10.	ORCH	tcst_snowa

## Parameters

### Parameters

	HighTune Name	Min. Parametric Value	Max. Parametric Value	IPSL Model Value	Model Component	Shor
--	---------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	-----------------	------

CLC	1E-04	1.00E-03	6.5e-4	LMDZ	seuil d'autoconversion de l'eau nuageuse liquide
FALLV	0.3	2.	0.8	LMDZ	vitesse de chute des cristaux de glace
OMEPMX	0.0003	0.02	0.001	LMDZ	1-epmax
DZ	0.04	0.12	0.07	LMDZ	parametre controlant le detrainement au sommet des thermiques
EVAP	5E-05	5.00E-04	1.00E-04	LMDZ	coefficient sur la réévaporation des pluies
GKDRAG	0.2	2.	0.6	LMDZ	sso_gkdrag
PCENT	0.3	1.	0.8	ORCH	Pcent
ASNOW	5.	15.	10.	ORCH	tcst_snowa

Short Description





## **Metrics in Forced vs Coupled**



Not all metrics exhibit a similar 1:1 behavior between AMIP and Coupled



## **Metrics in Forced vs Coupled**



Not all metrics exhibit a similar 1:1 behavior between AMIP and Coupled



## **Metrics in Forced vs Coupled**



Not all metrics exhibit a similar 1:1 behavior between AMIP and Coupled



## Why is this Important?

### **Current version is being tuned too cold at the surface and too warm in the stratosphere**



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



## **Difference in Forced vs Coupled**

### **Current version is being tuned too cold at the surface and too warm in the stratosphere** Coupled minus Forced **15 Simulations**



**Cooler stratosphere, warmer tropopause** 

**Coupled runs:** 



Larger spread between simulations in **polar regions (see individual simulations)** 

**Thermal gradient in the mid-latitudes** 



**Important for structure of zonal winds and** reaches the surface

11. Pressure [hPa] 400 600 800 1000 -25 25 50 75 -75 0 -4 -2 0 2 4 -6 -10 -8 Κ

Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



## **Difference in Forced vs Coupled**

### **Current version is being tuned too cold at the surface and too warm in the stratosphere** Coupled minus Forced **15 Simulations**



**Cooler stratosphere, warmer tropopause** 

**Coupled runs:** 



Larger spread between simulations in **polar regions (see individual simulations)** 

**Thermal gradient in the mid-latitudes** 



Important for structure of zonal winds and reaches the surface



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



## **Difference in Forced vs Coupled**

### **Current version is being tuned too cold at the surface and too warm in the stratosphere** Coupled minus Forced **15 Simulations**



**Cooler stratosphere, warmer tropopause** 

**Coupled runs:** 



Larger spread between simulations in **polar regions (see individual simulations)** 

**Thermal gradient in the mid-latitudes** 



**Important for structure of zonal winds and** reaches the surface



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



## **Forced Response to Parameters**



- **CLC, DZ, EVAP, and PCENT also large** impacts to tropics and extra-tropics
- Is this the same in the Coupled?





Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



## **Coupled Response to Parameters**



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR ?





### Focus on those regions with a significant 1:1 relationship of **Forced:Coupled**

### **Upper-tropopause and Stratosphere represent regions of 1:1** relationship in -LR

**Could this be a useful metric to include for AMIP Preconditioning?** 

### Some metrics already within observations



### Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?

Bias compared to ERA 5



_
_
1

### Focus on those regions with a significant 1:1 relationship of **Forced:Coupled**

### **Upper-tropopause and Stratosphere represent regions of 1:1** relationship in -LR

**Could this be a useful metric to include for AMIP Preconditioning?** 

### Some metrics already within observations



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



### Focus on those regions with a significant 1:1 relationship of **Forced:Coupled**

### **Upper-tropopause and Stratosphere represent regions of 1:1** relationship in -LR

**Could this be a useful metric to include for AMIP Preconditioning?** 

### Some metrics already within observations



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



### Focus on those regions with a significant 1:1 relationship of **Forced:Coupled**

### **Upper-tropopause and Stratosphere represent regions of 1:1 relationship in -LR**

**Could this be a useful metric to include for AMIP Preconditioning?** 



Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



Bias compared to ERA 5







Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?







Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?



## **Temperature Metrics vs Parameters**

**Stratospheric Metric** 

- **Similar slopes of Forced and Coupled** responses in FALLV and OPEMPMX
  - **Could this metric help reduce uncertainty** and constrain temperature?
- **GKDRAG becomes important for Coupled models**

**\*\*Gray shading represents ERA5 from** 1979-2004





Can we identify links between AMIP and Coupled climates in -LR?

### **Coupled Model Simulations**

### **Some simulations represent subpolar** sea ice better than others





Sim. 35















Sim. 19









### Can we apply these metrics and parameters to Arctic sea ice extent ?



### **Coupled Model Simulations**

- **Some simulations represent subpolar** sea ice better than others
- **Simulation 3:** 
  - **High stratospheric metric, low glob.rt**
- **Simulation 19:** 
  - Low stratospheric metric, high glob.rt







Sim. 35





Can we apply these metrics and parameters to Arctic sea ice extent ?

Sim. 3

Sim. 5





Sim. 11



Sim. 18

Sim. 19

Sim. 20







Sim. 36

Sim. 58

Sim. 75



- Coupled model exhibit too much JFM Arctic sea ice compared to NOAA NSIDC (1979-2005; gold line)
- Increasing stratospheric metric results in increased Arctic sea ice
  - Reducing stratospheric biases (lower metric values) COULD be related to Arctic climate

Can we apply these metrics and parameters to Arctic sea ice extent ?

### Stratosphere Metric





- **Coupled model exhibit too much JFM Arctic sea ice** compared to NOAA NSIDC (1979-2005; gold line)
- **Increasing stratospheric metric results in** increased Arctic sea ice
  - **Reducing stratospheric biases (lower metric values) COULD** be related to Arctic climate
- **Models with the low stratospheric metric (dark blue)** exhibit Arctic sea ice extent more comparable to NSIDC (1979-2005; gold line)
- Models with high metric value (red) over-estimate Arctic sea ice

Can we apply these metrics and parameters to Arctic sea ice extent ?

### High metric minus Low metric **Stratosphere Metric**





## Parameters, Metrics, & Sea Ice



### A stratospheric metric can be used to reduce parametric space and constrain Arctic sea ice

### Can the parameters, metrics, and sea ice all be related?





### Can using stratospheric temp drive Arctic sea ice change?



## Stratospheric Mechanism

### Decreasing stratosphere contributes to large-scale patterns of cooling and warming of North Atlantic

Pressure [hPa]

### Can using stratospheric temp drive Arctic sea ice change ?







## **Stratospheric Mechanism**

- **Decreasing stratosphere contributes to** large-scale patterns of cooling and warming of North Atlantic
- **Change in thermal gradient —> poleward** shift in jet
- **Coupled model importance:** 
  - **Drives increased ocean overturning circulation**
  - **Reduces subpolar Atlantic winter sea ice extent**



Can using stratospheric temp drive Arctic sea ice change?



## **Stratospheric Mechanism**

- **Decreasing stratosphere contributes to** large-scale patterns of cooling and warming of North Atlantic
- **Change in thermal gradient —> poleward** shift in jet
- **Coupled model importance:** 
  - **Drives increased ocean overturning circulation**
  - **Reduces subpolar Atlantic winter sea ice extent**



Can using stratospheric temp drive Arctic sea ice change?



## Lessons Learned

- 1. We need to adjust the glob.rt target metric closer to 6.4 W m<sup>2</sup> to within the 1979-2004 mean
- 2. Tropopause and Stratosphere offer 1:1 Forced to Coupled relationship
  - **Potential to include in AMIP preconditioning as a metric**
- **3. Sea ice in the coupled simulations is constrained by atmospheric theta and FALLV parameter** 
  - **Coupled model theta is more sensitive to FALLV than AMIP**



## Lessons Learned

- 1. We need to adjust the glob.rt target metric closer to 6.4 W m<sup>2</sup> to within the 1979-2004 mean
- **2. Tropopause and Stratosphere offer 1:1 Forced to Coupled relationship** 
  - **Potential to include in AMIP preconditioning as a metric**
- **3.** Sea ice in the coupled simulations is constrained by atmospheric theta and FALLV parameter
  - **Coupled model theta is more sensitive to FALLV than AMIP**

By including an upper atmosphere metric, which in part helps with refine parametric space, can work to constrain the mean Arctic sea ice extent in the coupled model





# UTILISATION D'ENSEMBLES PARAMÉTRIQUES FORCÉS/COUPLÉS

**Brady FERSTER, Juliette MIGNOT, Julie DESHAYES, Guillaume GACHON, Guillaume GASTINEAU** 

29 JANUARY 2024

What can be learned from waves of tuning AMIP and Coupled simulations using HighTune ?

PEDALONS